

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,529	11/13/2003	Raymond P. Johnston	59388US002	8664
32692 75	90 07/13/2005		EXAM	INER
3M INNOVA	TIVE PROPERTIES CO	LONEY, D	LONEY, DONALD J	
PO BOX 33427				
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	8F					
	'Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commons	10/712,529	JOHNSTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donald Loney	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	rv /PTO-413\				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/05/04,02/17/05.	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	, — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 07112005				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/712,529

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-10, 13, 17, 20 and 21 are of copending Application No. 10/325410. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the exterior building wall recited in the instant application does not distinguish from the wall or exterior structured surface recited in 10/325140 since walls typically contain exterior surfaces.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/712,529

Art Unit: 1772

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-7, 14, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Reicherts et al (6410118) or Waggoner et al (6355333).

Reicherts et al teaches a fluid control film containing a microstructured surface with channels applied to the exterior wall assembly of a building. The film is applied with an adhesive and/or staples. Refer to figures 1-8 and column 2, lines 6-17, column 3, lines 22-43 and column 4, lines 1-14. Waggoner et al also teaches a fluid control film 40 containing a microstructured surface with channels applied to the exterior wall assembly of a building. The film is applied with an adhesive and/or staples. Refer to figures 2-15 along with the Abstract and column 1, lines 23-50, column 2, lines 59-61, column 4, lines 8-39, column 6, lines 9-65, column 8, lines 10-25 and column 19, lines 30-36.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8-13 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Reicherts et al (6410118) or Waggoner et al (6355333).

The primary reference teaches the invention substantially as recited except for the adhesive being continuous or discontinuous as in claims 8 and 9 and the specific substrates the film is applied to. See the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection above.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,529 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the primary references to apply the film to all, and any, desired sections of the building in order to apply the protection features of the film thereto, motivated by the fact that Waggoner et al teaches to prevent water from entering windows, doors, and other joints in a building (column 1, lines 9-41). The adhesive coverage (i.e. continuous or discontinuous) would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art motivated by the fact one would what adhesive on the film in the locations that it is to be attached to the building. The anti-microbial additive of claim 20 would also be obvious motivated by the fact that exterior conditions of a building are subject to environmental conditions that require said protection. Pressure treated lumber is an example of needed exterior protection therefrom.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Loney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1493. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri. 8AM-4PM, flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,529 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Loney
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772

DJL:D.Loney 07/11/05